UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/597,159	01/09/2007	Ewald Schmon	7400-X06-152	6916	
	27317 7590 04/17/2009 Fleit Gibbons Gutman Bongini & Bianco PL			EXAMINER	
21355 EAST DIXIE HIGHWAY SUITE 115 MIAMI, FL 33180			HOGAN, JAMES SEAN		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3752		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/17/2009	PAPER	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/597,159	SCHMON ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	JAMES S. HOGAN	3752	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind  d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13.      This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .      Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4)  Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ Application Papers 9)  The specification is objected to by the Examir 10)  The drawing(s) filed on 13 July 2006 is/are: a	rawn from consideration.  /or election requirement.  ner. a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to b		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre		•	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	Examiner: Note the attached office	7.0001101111111111111111111111111111111	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigna) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicati iority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/13/06+5/3/07.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:	ate	

Application/Control Number: 10/597,159 Page 2

Art Unit: 3752

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. patent No. 6,712,292 to Gosis et al in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,877,677 to Schmon et al.
- 2. Gosis et al teaches all the limitations of the claims (a flow reservoir for a paint spray gun (2) with a bowl-shaped container (12), a cover (58) that can be set on the container, and an attachment part (at (70)) for direct fastening of the flow reservoir onto the paint spray gun) except for the attachment part being a screw-wedge element for direct quick-connect attachment. However, Schmon et al discloses a screw-wedge element (10) (see claim 1) provided on the inverted side of a container, the cover being on the "top" side. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have provided the device of Gosis et al with a screw-wedge element as suggested by Schmon et al. Doing so would provide a quick-connect for a container pertaining to an accommodating spray gun and because (a) the Gosis et al reference and the Schmon et al reference are *known work in one of field of endeavor*, (b) such modification is merely the use of known technique to improve a similar device by

Application/Control Number: 10/597,159 Page 3

Art Unit: 3752

Applicant and (c) such modification, i.e. choosing from a finite number of predictable solutions, is not of innovation but of ordinary skill and common sense. *KSR*, *International Co. v. Teleflex Inc., 550 U.S. (2007).* Further, it should be noted, for the basis of claims 2-9 as explained below, the quick-connecting part of the neck of the container of Schmon et al would be interchangeable for the thread-type connection of the cover of Gosis et al is since it has been held that a mere reversal of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art See *In re Einstein*, 8 USPQ 167

- 3. As per claims 2 and 3 the screw-wedge element (10) of Schmon et al is formed by a groove (at (12)) with a screw surface (14) extending diagonally in the circumferential direction, and other threads (See Figure 5b).
- 4. As per claim 4 and 5, the connector (5) of Schmon et al has a lateral contact surface (17) for limiting the screw-in depth when the screw-wedge element is used for attaching the flow reservoir, and an un-numbered end contact surface e (at hex blot area, figure 5b) for limiting the screw in depth of its reservoir.
- 5. As per claim 6, a shoulder of sorts is shown by Schmon et al in Figure 3b in the interior of the connector.
- 6. As per claim 7, the cover of Gosis et al and the container can be tightly connected to each other by locking threads (60, 56) as shown in Figure 1.
- 7. As per claims 8 and 9, it is not know if the threads as depicted by Gosis et al are of the type that are four-part steep, but it is shown that the external threads are on the container, and the internal threads are on the cover. Given that four-part steep

Application/Control Number: 10/597,159

Art Unit: 3752

threading is an industry standard, or are part of the Applicant being his own lexicographer, it can be surmised that creating a threading of a desired steep and/ or slope of 20mm is obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, since it has been held that discovering a result effective variable (i.e. the design of the thread, threads being common in their own existence) involves only routine skill in the art. See *In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USOPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Page 4

- 8. Claims 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. patent No. 6,712,292 to Gosis et al in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,877,677 to Schmon et al and further in view of International Application Publication WO 2004/037433 A1 to Joseph et al
- 9. As per claims 10 and 11, Gosis et al in view of Schmon et al teaches all the limitations of the claims except for the wedge-shaped sealing ridge formed on the underside of the cover. However, Joseph et al discloses (see Figure 3) wedge-shaped sealing element (13) provided on the inverted side of a container, the container being sealed within a grove formed by the deal and the cover's edge, the seal presumably tall enough in its depiction to catch paint in the cover when the cover is removed.

  Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have provided the device of Gosis et al as taught by Schmon et al with a wedge sealing element as suggested by Joseph et al. Doing so would provide a seal for a container pertaining to an accommodating spray gun and because (a) the Gosis et al, Schmon et al and Joseph et al references are known work in one of field of endeavor, (b) such modification is merely the use of known technique to improve a similar device by

Art Unit: 3752

Applicant and (c) such modification, i.e. choosing from a finite number of predictable solutions, is not of innovation but of ordinary skill and common sense. *KSR*, *International Co. v. Teleflex Inc.*, *550 U.S.* (2007).

10. As per claim 11, the use of "inserts" in paint containers as taught by the Gosis et al, Schmon et al and Joseph et al can be perceived to be disposable liners, which are notoriously well known in the art and their use is not deemed to be patentable as it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill to use a liner if so desired.

## Conclusion

- 11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure is as follows:
  - U.S. Patent No. 7,165,732 to Kosmyna et al
  - U.S. Patent No. 7036752 to Hsiang
  - U.S. Patent No. 6595441 to Petrie et al

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JAMES S. HOGAN whose telephone number is (571)272-4902. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 6:00a-3:00p EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Len Tran can be reached on (571)272-1184. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/597,159 Page 6

Art Unit: 3752

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/J. S. H./
Examiner, Art Unit 3752

/Len Tran/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3752